



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/671,413

09/25/2003

Kamil Mostafa Hajji

02-1006

9388

7590

06/29/2006

Leonard C. Suchyta  
c/o Christian Andersen  
Verizon Corporate Services Group Inc.  
600 Hidden Ridge, HQE03H01  
Irving, TX 75038

EXAMINER

DEANE JR, WILLIAM J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/671,413	HAJJI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	William J. Deane	2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 April 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0114437 (Nabkel et al.) in view U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0223403 (Higgins) and U.S. Patent No. 6,044,403 (Gerszberg et al.).

With respect to claims 1, 11, 14 -19, 25 and 27 - 30, note that Nabkel et al. teach a telephone system which provides an automated voice interface (note announcement device in Fig. 1), permitting the user to verbally specify an object corresponding to predefined objectives (paragraphs 0008 and 0011) including information services (paragraph 0012) and the node acts to implement the object (the above and 0022). Note also paragraphs 0020 and 0032 of Nabkel. As shown above Nabkel et al. teach the claimed limitations except for explicitly reciting that the information services is directory assistance and after receiving the information desired, conducting further action such as connecting a call to a third party. However, note that Higgins teaches using directory assistance in a similar manner as claimed by the present application (note Abstract and paragraph 0038). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporate such an information service as

Art Unit: 2614

directory assistance as taught by Higgins into the Nabkel et al. device as such would only entail substituting one known service for another. In addition, note that Gerszberg et al. teach an information or directory service that after receiving enough information can present the information, such as a requested phone number and automatically connect the caller to a third party (see Col. 23, lines 4 – 54 of Gerszberg et al.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a platform as taught by Gerszberg into the Nabkel et al./Higgins device as such would only entail the substitution of one known service platform for another.

With respect to claims 2 and 20, note the above and Abstract of Nabkel et al.

With respect to claims 4 – 5, 7 and 22 - 23, note Fig. 4 and paragraphs 0018 and 0030 of Nabkel et al.

With respect to claims 3, 6 and 21, such would be inherent in a wireless system and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to migrate that which is known in wireline to wireless (again, note paragraph 0018).

With respect to claim 8, such a limitation would be inherent in an AIN system.

With respect to claim 9, such verification is inherent unless the service is free which is not the way business is done.

With respect to claims 10 and 24 note paragraph 0030 of Nabkel et al.

With respect to claims 12 and 26, note paragraph 0026 of Nabkel et al.

With respect to claim 13, note 0028 of Nabkel et al.


***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

15Jun 2006

  
WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER